



COMMONWEALTH OF AUSTRALIA

PARLIAMENTARY DEBATES



**THE SENATE**

**A NEW TAX SYSTEM (GOODS  
AND SERVICES TAX) BILL 1998**

**A NEW TAX SYSTEM  
(GOODS AND SERVICES TAX  
IMPOSITION—EXCISE) BILL 1998**

**A NEW TAX SYSTEM (GOODS  
AND SERVICES TAX IMPOSITION  
—CUSTOMS) BILL 1998**

**A NEW TAX SYSTEM (GOODS  
AND SERVICES TAX IMPOSITION  
—GENERAL) BILL 1998**

---

---

**A NEW TAX SYSTEM  
(GOODS AND SERVICES TAX  
ADMINISTRATION) BILL 1998**

**A NEW TAX SYSTEM (GOODS AND  
SERVICES TAX TRANSITION) BILL 1998**

**A NEW TAX SYSTEM (AUSTRALIAN  
BUSINESS NUMBER) BILL 1998**

**A NEW TAX SYSTEM (AUSTRALIAN  
BUSINESS NUMBER CONSEQUENTIAL  
AMENDMENTS) BILL 1998**

**A NEW TAX SYSTEM (END  
OF SALES TAX) BILL 1998**

**A NEW TAX SYSTEM (PERSONAL  
INCOME TAX CUTS) BILL 1998**

**A NEW TAX SYSTEM (COMPENSATION  
MEASURES LEGISLATION  
AMENDMENT) BILL 1998**

**A NEW TAX SYSTEM (BONUSES FOR  
OLDER AUSTRALIANS) BILL 1998**

**A NEW TAX SYSTEM (INCOME TAX  
LAWS AMENDMENT) BILL 1998**

---

---

**A NEW TAX SYSTEM (AGED CARE  
COMPENSATION MEASURES  
LEGISLATION AMENDMENT) BILL 1998**

**A NEW TAX SYSTEM (TRADE  
PRACTICES AMENDMENT) BILL 1998**

**In Committee**

**SPEECH**

**Friday, 30 April 1999**

BY AUTHORITY OF THE SENATE

## SPEECH

**Date** Friday, 30 April 1999  
**Page** 4658  
**Questioner**  
**Speaker** Murray, Sen Andrew

**Source** Senate  
**Proof** No  
**Responder**  
**Question No.**

**Senator MURRAY (WA)** (11.26 am)—We have moved to government amendments Nos 1 to 3 on sheet FA209 which are being moved together by the government. Part 2.6, which is being amended by these amendments, deals with tax periods and the general rule is that a tax period is three months, ending on 31 March, 30 June, 30 September or 31 December. I note that those are months, not weekly periods or an accumulation of weekly periods.

A person can elect to have a tax period of one month. If the business has turnover in excess of \$20 million it has a tax period of one month. Amendment 1 from the government is an amendment to the heading of clause 27-30 to more accurately reflect the content of that clause, and that seems straightforward. Amendment 3 inserts a new clause which obliges the commissioner to revoke a determination if any of the requirements of that clause are not complied with, and that seems straightforward.

But I have a question concerning amendment 2, Minister. Amendment 2 inserts new clause 27-37 which permits the Commissioner of Taxation to determine special tax periods for businesses with a turnover of more than \$20 million. The specification of the period must still result in 12 complete tax periods for the year. The explanatory memorandum provides the example of some entities having 12 accounting periods which do not necessarily coincide with calendar months—in other words, some will be four weeks and others five weeks; that is why I drew your attention to the difference between periods which are set with months as opposed to those which are set with weeks.

Minister, page 8 of the explanatory memorandum, at point 1.5, gives the example that some entities have 13 four-week accounting periods, and clause 27-37 provides that the determination must result in 12 complete tax periods. Does that mean at least 12 complete tax periods? If not, how will businesses that have 13 four-weekly accounting periods, as specified in your example, be assisted by this amendment? In other words, is there any difficulty for companies which have 13 four-weekly accounting periods as compared with the legislation, which specifies 12 complete tax periods?